



Lacey Planning Commission Meeting Agenda

Refer to the bottom of the agenda for meeting information.

Wednesday, March 25, 2026

6:00 PM

Council Chambers and Online

1. Call to Order

2. Roll Call

3. Land Acknowledgement

We, the City of Lacey, are on the ancestral land of the Tribal People of the Treaty of Medicine Creek, including the Nisqually Indian Tribe and Squaxin Island Tribe. We acknowledge and remember those Tribal People not recognized today who were absorbed or relocated into other tribes for survival. We recognize the ancestors and their descendants who are still here. We recognize and respect the Tribal People of the Treaty of Medicine Creek as the traditional stewards of this land since time immemorial and their role today in taking care of these lands in perpetuity. We recognize and have the responsibility to call attention to the histories of dispossession, forced removal, and abridged treaty rights that allowed our nation, state, and city to develop as they have today. We recommend that community members read the Medicine Creek Treaty of 1854.

4. Approval of Agenda and Consent Agenda Items

- A. Approval of Agenda
- B. Approval of March 11, 2026 meeting minutes
 - 1. March 11th Minutes

5. Public Comment

Refer to the bottom of the agenda for instructions on how to provide public comment.

6. Commission Members' Reports

7. Department Report

8. Public Hearing

9. New Business

- A. **LMC Amendments: Parking, Daycare Centers, and Conversion of Existing Buildings: Hans Shepherd, Senior Planner.** The Planning Commission will receive an introduction on state-required amendments to city regulations pertaining to limits on minimum parking, permitted locations for daycare centers, and conversion of existing buildings into housing. This is an introductory briefing and the draft amendments will be provided to the Planning Commission at a future meeting.

1. LMC Amendments Attachments

10. Old Business

11. Communications and Announcements

A. Planning Commission Schedule

12. Next Meeting - April 8, 2026

13. Adjournment

Attendance and Public Comment

Attend Remote or In-Person

The public may attend the meeting in-person, or you may view or listen to the meeting using one of the following platforms:

In-Person: Council Chambers at Lacey City Hall
420 College Street SE, Lacey, WA 98503

Zoom: https://us02web.zoom.us/webinar/register/WN_wpT8x6yfSwGT3zkWzk-lsw

Website: <https://cityoflacey.org/government/public-meetings/>

Facebook: <https://www.facebook.com/cityoflacey>

YouTube: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=oR5qfHH94Ts>

Cable: Channel 77 with your local cable provider

Phone: (888) 788-0099 or (877) 853-5247 (Webinar ID 812 1756 4695)

Verbal Public Comment

Each speaker is limited to three minutes. Comments are welcome on matters connected to City business or specific agenda items.

Prior to starting your comments, please provide your:

- a. Name
- b. City of residence or connection to the City
- c. Topic or subject matter of your comments

Those wishing to provide verbal public comment may do so in-person or by Zoom:

In-Person: Use the sign-up sheet located at the meeting location.

Zoom: Preregister using the following Zoom link no later than two hours prior to the meeting:
https://us02web.zoom.us/webinar/register/WN_wpT8x6yfSwGT3zkWzk-lsw

Instructions and access details will be provided once registration is complete.

Written Public Comment

Please email written public comments to PlanningCommission@cityoflacey.org. The comment period will close two hours before the meeting time. Commissioners will receive all written public comments provided by this deadline. Comments may not be addressed during the meeting. All comments are part of the official record.

MINUTES

Lacey Planning Commission Meeting
Wednesday, March 11, 2026– 6:00 p.m.
Lacey City Hall Council Chambers, 420 College St SE – and via Zoom

The meeting was called to order at 6:00 p.m. by Jeff Gadman

Planning Commission members present: Jeff Gadman, Kyrian MacMichael, Robert Lane, Judith Doyle, Jennifer Harju, Aaron Dumas, and Allen Acosta. Staff present: Ryan Andrews, Doug Christenson, Royce Young, Jennifer Adams, and Kathryn Bisser.

Jeff noted a quorum present. Jennifer read the Land Acknowledgement.

Robert Lane made a motion, seconded by Kyrian MacMichael to approve the agenda for tonight's meeting. Kyrian MacMichael made a motion, seconded by Robert Lane to approve January 28th minutes. All were in favor, both motions carried.

- 1) **Public Comments:** None
- 2) **Commission Members Reports:** None
- 3) **Department Report:** Ryan Andrews introduced the two new Planning Commissioners; Aaron Dumas and Allen Acosta. The Planning Commission welcomed them and everyone introduced themselves.
- 4) **New Business: Stormwater Strategic Plan Introduction: Doug Christenson, Stormwater Engineer.** The Planning Commission received an introduction to the Stormwater Strategic Plan which is required to be updated in 2026. The briefing set the stage for the future draft update to the Plan which will return to the Planning Commission for review in late spring.
- 5) **Old Business: Infill Housing Draft Code Amendments: Jennifer Adams, Housing Coordinator.** The Planning Commission conducted a work session to review draft municipal code revisions to amend regulations for accessory dwelling units and middle housing. This was a briefing only and no formal action was requested. A public hearing on the amendments will be scheduled for a future meeting.
- 6) **Communications and Announcements:** The next meeting will be on March 25, 2026.
- 7) **Next Meeting:** March 25, 2026
- 8) **Adjournment:** 7:57 PM

To hear the full discussion of a specific topic, or the complete meeting, watch the recorded video available on YouTube: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=AdI9MgFICD8>



STAFF REPORT

Planning Commission
March 25, 2026

Subject: LMC Amendments: Parking, Daycare Centers, and Conversions of Existing Buildings

To: Planning Commission

Prepared by: Hans Shepherd, CED Senior Community Planner *HS*

Division Review: Rvan Andrews, CED Community Planning Manager *RA*

Department Director: Vanessa Dolbee, CED Director *VD*

Purpose: Briefing

Recommendation: Review only.

Brief: The Planning Commission will receive an introduction on state-required amendments to city regulations pertaining to limits on minimum parking, permitted locations for daycare centers, and conversion of existing buildings into housing. This is an introductory briefing only. Draft amendments will be provided to the Planning Commission at a future meeting.

Attachments:

1. HB [1042](#) Use of Existing Buildings for Residential Purposes (*Code Cities*)
2. HB [1757](#) Existing Buildings Used for Residential Purposes - Various Provisions
3. SB [5509](#) Child Care Centers Zoning
4. SB [5655](#) Child Care Centers – Existing Buildings-Occupancy Load Calculation
5. HB [1183](#) Building Codes and Development Regulations – Various Provisions
6. SB [6015](#) Minimum Parking Requirements - Residential Development
7. SB [5184](#) Parking Reform and Modernization Act
8. Department of Commerce Residential Parking Checklist

Policy or Legal Alignment:

1. 2026 CED Work Program: Legislation
 - a. Docket-02 Child-Care Centers
 - b. Docket-03 Parking Updates
 - c. Docket-08 Conversion of Existing Buildings

Background:

The Washington State Legislature has passed several bills over recent years related to encouraging the development of housing and the development of daycares. These bills included changes to [Chapter 36.70A](#) of the RCW – relating the continuance and implementation of the Growth Management Act (GMA). To remain in compliance with the GMA and avoid preemption by the State Legislature, municipalities planning under the GMA (such as Lacey) must update their municipal codes to reflect these legislative changes.

The following review contains a high-level summary of recent state level legislative amendments pertaining to limits on minimum parking standards, the citing of daycare centers, and the conversion of existing buildings for residential uses.

HB 1042 - Use of Existing Buildings for Residential Purposes (2023 Session)

This bill was intended to promote the conversion of existing commercial and mixed-use buildings to multi-family housing through a range of implementation mechanisms. Key provisions of this bill have been listed below:

- Allow a 50% density increase above what is permitted in the underlying zone if the housing is constructed entirely within the envelope of an existing building, provided that generally applicable health and safety standards can be met;
- Cities must not prohibit parking standards on the additional dwelling units (however, cities may require retention of existing parking required to satisfy existing residential parking requirements under local law and for any nonresidential uses that remain after new units are added);
- Cities must not impose additional permitting requirements or design standards on the use of existing buildings beyond those applicable to all residential development in the zone, with the exception of emergency and transitional housing;
- Cities must not impose exterior design or architectural requirements on the residential use of an existing building beyond those necessary for health and safety of the use of the interior of the building or to preserve character-defining streetscapes;
- Cities must not prohibit the addition of housing units in any specific part of a building except ground floor commercial or retail that is along a major pedestrian corridor as defined by each city, unless the addition of the units would violate applicable building codes or health and safety standards;
- Cities must not deny a building permit application for the addition of housing units within an existing building due to nonconformity regarding parking, height, setbacks, elevator size for gurney transport, or modulation, unless the city official with decision-making authority makes written findings that the nonconformity is causing a significant detriment to the surrounding area;



- Cities must not require a transportation concurrency study under RCW 36.70A.070 or an environmental study under chapter 43.21C RCW based on the addition of residential units within an existing building.

Within the Lacey Municipal Code (LMC), Title 14 – Buildings and Construction and Title 16 Zoning chapters will need to be reviewed to ensure consistency with these provisions.

HB 1757 - Existing Buildings Used for Residential Purposes - Various Provisions (2025 Session - RCW [35.21.990](#), [35A.21.440](#))

This bill expands RCW 35A.21.440 and 35.21.990 to include existing buildings in residential zones. Key provisions include the following:

- A city may not require a change of use permit for a proposed conversion of an existing building to residential use.
- Cities must not require unchanged portions of an existing building that has been used for residential or previously permit-approved conditioned space purposes to meet the current energy code solely because of the addition of new dwelling units within the building;
- When any other existing building is converted to new dwelling units, the changed portions of the new units must meet state energy code requirements unless specific criteria can be met by the applicant — see the bill's revisions included in RCW 35A.21.440(2)(g).

Within the Lacey Municipal Code (LMC), Title 14 – Buildings and Construction chapters will need to be reviewed to ensure consistency with these provisions.

SB 5509 – Child Care Centers Zoning (2025 Session – RCW [35.21.996](#))

To facilitate the development of child care facilities in more places throughout the state, SB 5509 requires cities, towns, and code cities to allow child care centers outright (permitted without special review and approval) in all zones except industrial zones, light industrial zones, and open space zones.

Cities and code cities can allow child care centers in other zones, and they must provide for a conditional use approval of an on-site child care center in industrial or light industrial zones, except in or around high-hazard facilities.

While the new requirements limit the ability of cities, code cities and towns to restrict where and how child care centers are allowed, cities and code cities may impose reasonable restrictions on the permits for these facilities, including those related to pickup and drop-off areas to allow for safe and efficient flow in and out of the site.

For the purposes of this update, "child care centers" has the same meaning as listed in RCW 43.216.010: "Child day care center" and "child care center" mean an agency that regularly



provides early childhood education and early learning services for a group of children for periods of less than 24 hours.

Within the Lacey Municipal Code (LMC), Title 16 – Zoning chapters will need to be updated consistent with these provisions.

SB 5655 Child Care Centers – Existing Buildings-Occupancy Load Calculation (2025 Session – RCW [43.216.265](#), [19.27.600](#))

This bill adds language to two different sections of the RCW, with the intent of updating the occupancy load of child care centers to incentivize building owners (including those of religious facilities), to convert existing spaces to child care facilities. This is accomplished by requiring building officials to calculate the occupancy load of a child care center based only on the areas in the building where the child care services are provided, rather than the square footage of the entire building.

Additional guidance has been included within this bill for the Washington State Building Code Council (SBCC), directing local governments to implement this standard of measurement at the time of building permit review.

Within the Lacey Municipal Code (LMC), Title 14 – Buildings and Construction chapters will need to be reviewed to ensure consistency with these provisions.

HB 1183 - Energy Efficient and Affordable Housing (2025 Session – RCW [36.70A.810](#), [36.70A.812](#), [36.70A.813](#), [36.70A.815](#), [36.70A.817](#), [36.70A.819](#), [36.70A.820](#), [36.70A.620](#))

This bill prohibits cities from requiring facade modulation and upper-level setbacks for [passive house](#), [mass timber](#), [modular construction](#), conversions of existing buildings to [affordable housing](#), and new affordable housing development. Additionally, this bill mirrors significant portions of HB 1042 for non-code cities.

Key provisions include:

- Retrofits for existing buildings for residential housing and the construction of new housing meeting passive housing requirements, must be permitted to encroach on adopted setbacks and exceed roof height requirements to accommodate additional insulation;
- Retrofits for existing buildings for residential housing and the construction of new housing meeting passive housing requirements, gross floor areas must be measured from the interior face of exterior walls;
- For existing nonconforming buildings already projecting into setbacks, the portion of exterior wall assemblies that include insulation must be allowed to project up to an additional eight inches into the setbacks on all sides if the building is to be used for residential housing;



- Façade modulation and upper-level setback requirements are not permitted as a condition of permitting (a) Affordable housing; (b) New construction meeting passive house requirements; (c) The retrofit of existing buildings meeting passive house requirements; (d) The conversion of existing buildings to housing or mixed-use development that includes housing; (e) Modular construction; or (f) Mass timber construction;
- Off street parking may not be required as a condition of permitting (a) Affordable housing; (b) New construction or the retrofit of existing buildings meeting passive house requirements; (c) Modular construction; or (d) Mass timber construction;
- Affordable housing units must not be required to be larger than (a) 400 square feet for a studio unit; (b) 550 square feet for a one-bedroom unit; (c) 750 square feet for a two-bedroom unit; and (d) 1,000 square feet for a three-bedroom unit.
 - If the average unit size in the project is smaller than the size requirements in this section, the city must allow the affordable housing units to be of a comparable size as the market rate units.

Within the Lacey Municipal Code (LMC), Title 14 – Buildings and Construction and Title 16 Zoning chapters will need to be reviewed to ensure consistency with these provisions.

SB 6015 - Minimum Parking Requirements - Residential Development (2024 Session – RCW [36.70A.622](#))

Recognizing the many benefits of parking deregulation related specifically to encouraging the development of additional housing, the legislature passed the state’s first standalone parking bill, which provides flexibility for parking configurations through a range of new requirements:

- Garages and carports can’t be required as a way to meet minimum parking requirements for residential development;
- Parking spaces that count towards minimum parking requirements may be enclosed or unenclosed;
- Parking spaces in tandem count toward meeting minimum parking requirements at a rate of one space for every 20 feet, with room for turning if needed;
- The existence of non-conforming gravel parking areas may not be a reason for prohibiting the use of these spaces to meet local parking standards;
- Parking spaces may not be required to exceed 8 feet by 20 feet, except for required parking for people with disabilities;
- Parking spaces that consist of grass block pavers may count toward meeting minimum parking requirements;



- Existing paved parking lots are not required to change the size of existing parking spaces during resurfacing if doing so will be more costly or require significant reconfiguration of the parking space locations; and
- Cities planning under this chapter, may not require off-street parking as a condition of permitting a residential project if compliance with tree retention would otherwise make a proposed residential development or redevelopment infeasible.

Within the Lacey Municipal Code (LMC), Title 14, specifically Chapter 14.19 - Standards for Parking Lot Construction will need to be reviewed and updated consistent with these provisions.

SB 5184 - Parking Reform and Modernization Act (2025 Session – RCW [35.21.994](#))

Building on SB 6015, this bill sets the following limits on local government development regulations regarding parking:

- No more than 0.5 off-street stalls per multifamily unit.
- No more than 1 stall per single-family unit.
- No more than 2 stalls per 1,000 square feet of commercial space.
- No parking may be required for residences under 1,200 square feet, commercial spaces under 3,000 square feet, affordable housing, senior housing, child care centers, ground level non-residential space in mixed-use buildings, or for buildings changing use.

This bill does not apply to parking spaces designated for the exclusive use of individuals with disabilities in compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA). Additionally, parking allowances are made for religious organizations and carpools in excess of these regulations.

Within the Lacey Municipal Code (LMC), Title 16 and 14, specifically LMC 16.72 Off Street Parking, and LMC 14.19 Standards for Parking Lot Construction will need to be updated consistent with these provisions.

Next Steps:

Following Planning Commissions review of these state-required amendments, Lacey staff will work to identify existing gaps and inconsistencies within the Lacey Municipal Code. Strategies for navigating these legislative updates will include a range of potential next steps including:

1. LMC is currently in alignment with or exceeds legislative changes, no action necessary;
2. LMC is silent on and will defer to legislative changes, no action necessary;
3. LMC is not in compliance with legislative changes, minor adjustments needed;
4. LMC is not in compliance with legislative changes, larger code amendments needed



For the majority of the legislative changes identified within this report, staff recommendations will primarily land within options one through three, with only a few provisions requiring greater review. Once completed, a gap analysis and draft amendments will be brought back to the Planning Commission for additional review.



RESIDENTIAL PARKING CHECKLIST

This checklist provides the framework Commerce planners will use to review development regulation submissions pertaining to parking requirements for residential uses. **This checklist is NOT required to be completed by each jurisdiction** ; it is an additional tool to help local planners meet the intent of the statutes.

Questions? Contact Anne Fritzel at Anne.Fritzel@commerce.wa.gov or 360-259-5216.

Off-street parking requirements for residential projects

These standards apply to fully-planning towns, cities, and counties

Deadline: The earlier of six months after the next periodic comprehensive plan update or six months after the next implementation progress report

Code requirement	Consistent? Yes/No	Changes needed?
No off-street parking is required for affordable housing . RCW 36.70A.817(1)(a)		
No off-street parking is required for residential projects that meet passive house requirements, whether the project is new construction or the retrofit of an existing building . RCW 36.70A.817(1)(b)		
No off-street parking is required for residential projects utilizing modular construction . RCW 36.70A.817(1)(c)		
No off-street parking is required for residential projects utilizing mass timber construction . RCW 36.70A.817(1)(d)		

Minimum parking requirements

These standards apply to cities and counties with a population over 30,000, as determined by the Washington State Office of Financial Management

Deadline: January 27, 2027, for jurisdictions with a population over 50,000. July 27, 2028, for jurisdictions with a population under 50,000.

Code requirement	Consistent? Yes/No	Changes needed?
No more than 0.5 parking space per dwelling unit is required for multifamily housing. RCW 35.21.994(1)		
No more than one parking space is required per single family home. RCW 35.21.994(1)		
No more than two parking spaces are required per 1,000 square feet of commercial space. RCW 35.21.994(2)		
No parking is required for residences under 1,200 square feet. RCW 35.21.994(3)(a)		
No parking is required for commercial spaces under 3,000 square feet. RCW 35.21.994(3)(b)		
No parking is required for affordable housing. RCW 35.21.994(3)(c)		
No parking is required for senior housing. RCW 35.21.994(3)(d)		
No parking is required for child care centers as defined in RCW 43.216.010 that are licensed or certified by the department of children, youth, and families. RCW 35.21.994(3)(e)		
No parking is required for ground level nonresidential spaces in mixed-use buildings. RCW 35.21.994(3)(f)		
No parking is required for a building undergoing a change of use from a nonresidential to a residential use or a change of use for a commercial use. RCW 35.21.994(3)(g)		

Off-street parking requirements for transit-oriented development

These standards apply to fully-planning cities with a station area as defined in RCW 36.70A.030 Areas within a one-mile radius of Seattle-Tacoma International Airport are exempt from these requirements.

Deadline: The earlier of December 31, 2029, or the jurisdiction’s first implementation progress report due after December 31, 2024.

When a new major transit stop is completed or funded after this timeframe, the jurisdiction must adopt consistent regulations by the subsequent comprehensive plan update or implementation progress report.

Code requirement	Consistent? Yes/No	Changes needed?
<p>No off-street parking is required for residential or mixed-use development within a station area as defined in RCW 36.70A.030.</p> <p>Exceptions: off-street parking for the exclusive use of individuals with disabilities or parking that is permanently marked for the short-term exclusive use of delivery vehicles. RCW 36.70A.842(1)</p>		
<p>If a residential or mixed-use development provides parking for residential uses, the city may:</p> <p>(a) Require a share of any provided residential parking to be distributed between units designated as affordable housing and units offered at market rate; and</p> <p>(b) Include all or a portion of the cost of unbundled parking charges into the monthly cost for rental units designated as affordable housing. RCW 36.70A.842(4)</p>		

Parking standards for infill housing types

These standards apply only to specified housing types

Deadlines: For ADUs and middle housing the deadline is the periodic update deadline, for cities with a periodic update required in 2025 or later. For jurisdictions with a periodic update deadline in 2024, the deadline was June of 2025.

For co-living housing, the deadline is December 31, 2025

Code requirement	Consistent? Yes/No	Changes needed?
<p>The following standards apply to accessory dwelling units :</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> No off street parking is required within one-half mile walking distance of a major transit stop. RCW 36.70A.681(2)(a)(i) No more than one off-street parking space per accessory dwelling unit is required on lots under 6,000 square feet (before any zero lot line subdivisions or splits). RCW 36.70A.681(2)(a)(ii) No more than two off-street parking spaces per unit are required on lots greater than 6,000 square feet (before any zero lot line subdivisions or splits). RCW 36.70A.681(2)(a)(iii) <p>Exceptions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> If an empirical parking study showing that meeting these requirements would be significantly less safe to pedestrians, drivers etc. is certified by Commerce. RCW 36.70A.681(2)(b)(i) Areas within a one-mile radius of Seattle-Tacoma International Airport. RCW 36.70A.681(2)(b)(ii) 		
<p>The following standards apply to middle housing types for cities subject to middle housing requirements under RCW 36.70A.635:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> No off-street parking is required within one-half mile walking distance of a major transit stop. RCW 36.70A.635(6)(d) No more than one off-street parking space per unit is required on lots 6,000 square feet or less before any zero lot line subdivisions or splits. RCW 36.70A.635(6)(e) No more than two off-street parking spaces per unit are required on lots greater than 6,000 square feet (before any zero lot line subdivisions or splits). RCW 36.70A.635(6)(f) 		

<p>Exceptions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • If an empirical parking study showing that meeting these requirements would be significantly less safe to pedestrians, drivers etc. is certified by Commerce RCW 36.70A.635(7)(a) • Areas within a one-mile radius of Seattle-Tacoma International Airport. RCW 36.70A.635(7)(b) 		
<p>The following standards apply to co-living housing :</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No off-street parking is required within one-half mile walking distance of a major transit stop. RCW 36.70A.535(3)(a)(i) • No more than 0.25 off-street parking spaces are required per sleeping unit. RCW 36.70A.535(3)(a)(ii) <p>Exceptions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • If an empirical parking study showing that meeting these requirements would be significantly less safe to pedestrians, drivers, etc. is certified by Commerce RCW 36.70A.535(3)(b)(i) • Areas within a one-mile radius of Seattle-Tacoma International Airport. RCW 36.70A.535(3)(b)(ii) 		

Development regulations for residential parking areas

These standards apply to residential uses generally. Areas within a one-mile radius of Seattle-Tacoma International Airport are exempt from these requirements.

Deadline: Periodic update deadline		
Code requirement	Consistent? Yes/No	Changes needed?
Garages and carports are not required as a way to meet minimum parking requirements for residential development. RCW 36.70A.622(a)		
Parking spaces that count towards minimum parking requirements may be enclosed or unenclosed. RCW 36.70A.622(b)		

<p>Parking spaces in tandem (having two or more vehicles, one in front of or behind the others with a single means of egress or ingress) count towards meeting minimum parking requirements at a rate of one space for every 20 linear feet with any necessary provisions for turning radius. RCW 36.70A.622(c)</p>		
<p>Existing designated parking areas with legally nonconforming gravel surfacing may be used to meet local parking standards, up to a maximum of six parking spaces. RCW 36.70A.622(d)</p>		
<p>Parking spaces are not required to exceed eight feet by 20 feet, except for required parking for people with disabilities. RCW 36.70A.622(e)</p>		
<p>For fully planning counties and cities within those counties that have a population over 6,000, off-street parking is not required as a condition of permitting a residential project if compliance with tree retention would otherwise make a proposed residential development or redevelopment infeasible. RCW 36.70A.622(f)</p>		
<p>Parking spaces that consist of grass block pavers count toward minimum parking requirements. RCW 36.70A.622(g)</p>		
<p>* Existing parking spaces that do not conform to the above standards by June 6, 2024 are not required to be modified or resized, except to comply with the Americans with Disabilities Act. Spaces in existing paved parking lots are not required to be resized during resurfacing if doing so will be costly or require significant reconfiguration of the parking space locations. RCW 36.70A.622(2)</p> <p><i>* Note: this standard should be applied to all areas, including within a one mile radius of Seattle-Tacoma International Airport</i></p>		

3/12/26

CITY OF LACEY PLANNING COMMISSION WORK SCHEDULE

**Planning Commission Meeting
March 25, 2026**

Packets due: March 20, 2026

1. **Work Session:** Infill Housing Regulations
2. **Work Session:** Parking Amendments Intro
3. **Work Session:** Daycare Amendments Intro
4. **Work Session:** Conversion of Existing Buildings Intro

**Planning Commission Meeting
April 8, 2026**

Packets due: April 3, 2026

1. **Public Hearing:** Infill Housing Regulations
2. **Work Session:** Tree Regulations Intro
3. **Work Session:** Mobile Vendors Intro

**Planning Commission Meeting
April 22, 2026**

Packets due: April 17, 2026

1. **Work Session:** Parking Amendments
2. **Work Session:** Daycare Amendments
3. **Work Session:** Conversion of Existing Buildings

**Planning Commission Meeting
May 13, 2026**

Packets due: May 8, 2026

1. **Work Session:** Mobile/Manufactured Home Park Preservation Outreach Plan

Pending Items: DG&PWS Additional Updates (Joey Etter)
Stormwater Strategic Plan:
June 10 Plan Briefing
July 8 Public Hearing